3

In the Matter of

DAVID L. CHILD, MD

In the State of Arizona

Holder of License No. 6275

For the Practice of Allopathic Medicine

4

5

6

7

8 9

10

11

12

13 14

15

16

17 .18

19

21

20

22 23

24

25

Case No. MD-09-1450A

ORDER FOR LETTER OF REPRIMAND

AND CONSENT TO SAME

David L. Child, M.D. ("Respondent") elects to permanently waive any right to a hearing and appeal with respect to this Order for Letter of Reprimand; admits the jurisdiction of the Arizona Medical Board ("Board"); and consents to the entry of this Order by the Board.

FINDINGS OF FACT

- The Board is the duly constituted authority for the regulation and control of 1. physician in the State of Arizona.
- Respondent is the holder of license number 6275 for the practice of 2. allopathic medicine in the State of Arizona.
- 3. The Board initiated case number MD-09-1450A after receiving notification of a malpractice judgment regarding Respondent's care and treatment of a 38 year-old female patient ("VD") alleging inappropriate ligation and removal of a ureter during gynecologic surgery necessitating subsequent reconstructive surgery and resulting in ongoing pain/infections.
- 4. On November 13, 2004, VD underwent a total abdominal hysterectomy. Post operatively, VD developed abdominal and pelvic pain that was not well controlled with multiple analgesics. On August 2, 2005, Respondent performed a bilateral salpingooophorectomy, partial omentectomy and massive adhesiolysis. The diagnosis was

1 | r 2 | \ \ 3 | a 4 | s 5 | \ \ 6 | | t 8 | 2 9 | g 9 | g 9 | g 9 | g 9 | g 9 | g 9 | g 9 | g 9 | g 9 | g 9 | g 9 | g 9 | g 9 | g 9 | g 9 | g 9 | g 9 | g 9 | g 9 | g 9 | g 9 | g 9 | g 9 | g 9 | g 9 | g 9 | g 9 | g 9 | g 9 | g 9 | g 9 | g 9 | g 9 | g 9 | g 9 | g 9 | g 9 | g 9 | g 9 | g 9 | g 9 | g 9 | g 9 | g 9 | g 9 | g 9 | g 9 | g 9 | g 9 | g 9 | g 9 | g 9 | g 9 | g 9 | g 9 | g 9 | g 9 | g 9 | g 9 | g 9 | g 9 | g 9 | g 9 | g 9 | g 9 | g 9 | g 9 | g 9 | g 9 | g 9 | g 9 | g 9 | g 9 | g 9 | g 9 | g 9 | g 9 | g 9 | g 9 | g 9 | g 9 | g 9 | g 9 | g 9 | g 9 | g 9 | g 9 | g 9 | g 9 | g 9 | g 9 | g 9 | g 9 | g 9 | g 9 | g 9 | g 9 | g 9 | g 9 | g 9 | g 9 | g 9 | g 9 | g 9 | g 9 | g 9 | g 9 | g 9 | g 9 | g 9 | g 9 | g 9 | g 9 | g 9 | g 9 | g 9 | g 9 | g 9 | g 9 | g 9 | g 9 | g 9 | g 9 | g 9 | g 9 | g 9 | g 9 | g 9 | g 9 | g 9 | g 9 | g 9 | g 9 | g 9 | g 9 | g 9 | g 9 | g 9 | g 9 | g 9 | g 9 | g 9 | g 9 | g 9 | g 9 | g 9 | g 9 | g 9 | g 9 | g 9 | g 9 | g 9 | g 9 | g 9 | g 9 | g 9 | g 9 | g 9 | g 9 | g 9 | g 9 | g 9 | g 9 | g 9 | g 9 | g 9 | g 9 | g 9 | g 9 | g 9 | g 9 | g 9 | g 9 | g 9 | g 9 | g 9 | g 9 | g 9 | g 9 | g 9 | g 9 | g 9 | g 9 | g 9 | g 9 | g 9 | g 9 | g 9 | g 9 | g 9 | g 9 | g 9 | g 9 | g 9 | g 9 | g 9 | g 9 | g 9 | g 9 | g 9 | g 9 | g 9 | g 9 | g 9 | g 9 | g 9 | g 9 | g 9 | g 9 | g 9 | g 9 | g 9 | g 9 | g 9 | g 9 | g 9 | g 9 | g 9 | g 9 | g 9 | g 9 | g 9 | g 9 | g 9 | g 9 | g 9 | g 9 | g 9 | g 9 | g 9 | g 9 | g 9 | g 9 | g 9 | g 9 | g 9 | g 9 | g 9 | g 9 | g 9 | g 9 | g 9 | g 9 | g 9 | g 9 | g 9 | g 9 | g 9 | g 9 | g 9 | g 9 | g 9 | g 9 | g 9 | g 9 | g 9 | g 9 | g 9 | g 9 | g 9 | g 9 | g 9 | g 9 | g 9 | g 9 | g 9 | g 9 | g 9 | g 9 | g 9 | g 9 | g 9 | g 9 | g 9 | g 9 | g 9 | g 9 | g 9 | g 9 | g 9 | g 9 | g 9 | g 9 | g 9 | g 9 | g 9 | g 9 | g 9 | g 9 | g 9 | g 9 | g 9 | g 9 | g 9 | g 9 | g 9 | g 9 | g 9 | g 9 | g 9 | g 9 | g 9 | g 9 | g 9 | g 9 | g 9 | g 9 | g 9 | g 9 | g 9 | g 9 | g 9 | g 9 | g 9 | g 9 | g 9 | g 9 | g 9 | g 9 | g 9 | g 9 | g 9 | g 9 | g 9 | g 9 | g 9 | g 9 | g 9 | g 9 | g 9 | g 9 | g 9 | g

residual ovary syndrome, chronic pelvic pain and pelvic endometriosis. Three days later, VD was discharged. On August 10, 2005, VD presented to the emergency room for pelvic and abdominal pain. A CT scan showed a non-functioning left kidney and VD subsequently underwent a cystoscopy attempted retrograde placement of ureteral stent. A left retrograde pyelogram showed an approximate 4 cm of ureter visualized. Two days later, VD underwent a left percutaneous nephrostomy. VD developed recurrent urinary tract infections, increasing pelvic, left flank, groin and inguinal pain. On November 21, 2005, a lysis of adhesion and left Boari flap to reconstruct the left ureteral drainage was performed.

- 5. The standard of care when performing salpingo-oophorectomy and lysis of extensive pelvic adhesion requires a physician to adequately visualize the ureters intraoperatively and determine at the end of the procedure that the ureters are not injured, cut, removed or obstructed.
- 6. Respondent deviated from the standard of care by failing to visualize the entire course of the left ureter.
- 7. Respondent caused obstruction to VD's left kidney resulting in the need for VD to undergo nephrostomy and subsequent major reconstructive urologic surgery. Respondent potentially could have caused VD to have continued significant pain and to require further urologic procedures, possibly a left nephrectomy. The obstruction to VD's left kidney was, with reasonable medical probability, the proximate cause of VD's recurrent urinary tract infections. Respondent also potentially caused VD's urinary tract damage, obstruction and subsequent serologic surgeries and with reasonable medical probability contributed to VD's persistent pelvic and abdominal pain.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

- 1. The Board possesses jurisdiction over the subject matter hereof and over Respondent.
- 2. The conduct and circumstances described above constitute unprofessional conduct pursuant to A.R.S. § 32-1401(27)(q) ("[a]ny conduct or practice that is or might be harmful or dangerous to the health of the public.").

ORDER

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED THAT Respondent is issued a Letter of Reprimand.

DATED AND EFFECTIVE this _______, 2010.



ARIZONA MEDICAL/BOARD

Lisa S. Wvnn

Executive Director

CONSENT TO ENTRY OF ORDER

- 1. Respondent has read and understands this Consent Agreement and the stipulated Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law and Order ("Order"). Respondent acknowledges he has the right to consult with legal counsel regarding this matter.
- 2. Respondent acknowledges and agrees that this Order is entered into freely and voluntarily and that no promise was made or coercion used to induce such entry.
- 3. By consenting to this Order, Respondent voluntarily relinquishes any rights to a hearing or judicial review in state or federal court on the matters alleged, or to

challenge this Order in its entirety as issued by the Board, and waives any other cause of action related thereto or arising from said Order.

- 4. The Order is not effective until approved by the Board and signed by its Executive Director.
- 5. All admissions made by Respondent are solely for final disposition of this matter and any subsequent related administrative proceedings or civil litigation involving the Board and Respondent. Therefore, said admissions by Respondent are not intended or made for any other use, such as in the context of another state or federal government regulatory agency proceeding, civil or criminal court proceeding, in the State of Arizona or any other state or federal court.
- 6. Upon signing this agreement, and returning this document (or a copy thereof) to the Board's Executive Director, Respondent may not revoke the consent to the entry of the Order. Respondent may not make any modifications to the document. Any modifications to this original document are ineffective and void unless mutually approved by the parties.
- 7. This Order is a public record that will be publicly disseminated as a formal disciplinary action of the Board and will be reported to the National Practitioner's Data Bank and on the Board's web site as a disciplinary action.
- 8. If any part of the Order is later declared void or otherwise unenforceable, the remainder of the Order in its entirety shall remain in force and effect.
- 9. If the Board does not adopt this Order, Respondent will not assert as a defense that the Board's consideration of the Order constitutes bias, prejudice, prejudgment or other similar defense.
- 10. Any violation of this Consent Agreement constitutes unprofessional conduct and may result in disciplinary action. A.R.S. § § 32-1401(27)(r) ("[v]iolating a formal order,

1	probation agreement or stipulation issued or entered into by the board or its exec	utive
2	director under this chapter") and 32-1451.	
3 4	David L. Child, M.D. DATED: 827/10	
5	EXECUTED COPY of the foregoing mailed this /j day of October 2010 to:	
7	David L. Child, M.D. Address of Record	
8 9	ORIGINAL of the foregoing filed this 15th day of October, 2010 with:	
10	Arizona Medical Board 9545 E. Doubletree Ranch Road	
11 12	Scottsdale AZ 85258 Arizona Medical Board Staff	
13	7 IIIZONA Medicai Beard etaii	
14		
15		
16		
17 18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		